The authors wish to thank NSF and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for generous financial support, and SERC for a studentship (CJC). NCN also thanks the Royal Society for additional supporting funds.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1168). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Carmalt, C. J., Cowley, A. H., Decken, A., Lawson, Y. G. & Norman, N. C. (1996). Unpublished results.
- Carroll, P. J. & Titus, D. D. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 824-829.
- Colton, R., Hoskins, B. F. & Panagiotidou, P. (1987). Aust. J. Chem. 40, 1909–1912.
- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 5.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Schmidbaur, H., Reber, G., Schier, A., Wagner, F. E. & Müller, G. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 147, 143–150.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1995). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1993). XCAD4 Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Comment

Salvileucolide methyl ester, (I), has been isolated as the major constituent from the aerial parts of Salvia hypoleuca (Rustaiyan, Niknejad, Nazarians, Jakupovic & Bohlmann, 1982) and S. sahendica (Matloubi Moghaddam, Zaynizadeh & Rüedi, 1995), both species being endemic to Iran. In the previous studies, the conformation of (I) was assigned by spectroscopic methods, especially by extensive ¹H and ¹³C NMR experiments. The relative configuration at C(16) and the absolute configuration of the molecule, however, remained undetermined. Chemical transformation of (I) to an established reference compound (e.g. a suitable labdane derivative) and comparison of the chiroptical data would quite easily provide the absolute configuration of the skeleton. The determination of the stereochemistry at C(16) [e.g. by degradation of (I) to a 3-hydroxypentanoic acid derivative], however, is not expected to be unambiguously feasible without difficulties, probably due to racemization. This fact prompted us to undertake the X-ray analysis of the 6-O-p-bromobenzoyl ester, (II), where the Br atom greatly facilitates the direct determination of the absolute configuration. We now report the crystal structure of (II), which thereby establishes the structure of (I).



benzoylsalvileucolide Methyl Ester

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 933-935

Organisch-chemisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: alinden@oci.unizh.ch

ANTHONY LINDEN,* MATHIAS JUCH AND PETER RÜEDI

Absolute Configuration of 6-O-p-Bromo-

(Received 11 July 1995; accepted 10 October 1995)

Abstract

The absolute configuration of the title compound, methyl 8-(4-bromobenzoyloxy)-5-[5-(2,5-dihydro-3methyl-5-oxo-2-furanyl)-3-methyl-3-pentenyl]-6-hydroxy-1, 4a, 6 - trimethyldecahydronaphthalene - 1 - carboxylate, $C_{33}H_{43}BrO_7$, a derivative of the sesterterpenoid salvileucolide methyl ester isolated from the aerial parts of two Iranian Salvia species, has been determined at 173 K. The compound belongs to the normal (10*R*) series and the configuration at C(16) is also *R*. The correct absolute configuration of (II), determined by refinement of the structure and the enantiopole parameter according to the method of Flack (1983), is depicted in Fig. 1. The compound has the 4R,6S,8R,9R,10R,13E,16R configuration. Therefore, as previously assumed (Rustaiyan *et al.*, 1982; Matloubi Moghaddam *et al.*, 1995), the natural product (I) belongs to the normal cyclic sesterterpenoid (10R) series and has an R configuration at C(16).

The bond lengths and angles generally have normal values. The two most significant exceptions are the values of 101.2(4) and $130.3(6)^{\circ}$ for C(3)—C(4)—C(23) and C(18)—C(17)—C(20), respectively. These angles probably result from steric interactions between the two neighbouring ester groups in the first case and between the coplanar methyl group, C(20), and



Fig. 1. View of the molecule of (II) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. For clarity, only the H atoms at the chiral centres and that of the hydroxy group are included.

the H atom on C(17) in the latter case. The two cyclohexane rings form a slightly distorted double-chair conformation as shown by the ring torsion angles and the puckering parameter θ (Cremer & Pople, 1975), which is 9.9(5) and $11.1(5)^{\circ}$ for the rings containing C(1) and C(6), respectively (an ideal chair has $\theta =$ 0°). Except for the methyl group at C(10), the heaviest substituents on the cyclohexane rings always occupy equatorial positions. The five-membered ring is planar, with a maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.013(5) Å. The bond lengths within the ring show that the O(16)—C(19) and C(18)—C(19) bonds have acquired some π -bond character.

An intermolecular hydrogen bond between the hydroxy group and the carbonyl O atom of the p-bromobenzoate group links the molecules into infinite onedimensional chains which run parallel to the crystallographic *a* axis.

Experimental

The extraction and isolation of (I) was performed as described by Matloubi Moghaddam, Zaynizadeh & Rüedi (1995). For (II), a solution of (I) (13 mg, 0.029 mmol) and p-bromobenzoyl chloride (40 mg, 0.18 mmol) in pyridine (1 ml) was stirred overnight at 343 K. Column chromatography (silica gel, hexane-acetone 9:1 to 6:1) of the crude reaction product afforded (II) (18.5 mg, 100%). Recrystallization from hexane-Et₂O followed by hexane-acetone solution (diffusion method) yielded crystals which were suitable for X-ray analysis, m.p. 331–332 K (uncorrected); $[\alpha]_D$ +39.6° (EtOH, c 0.58); IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹, CHCl₃): 2952 (CH), 1760 (sh), 1742, 1717 (γ-lactone, CO₂Me and CO₂-*p*-Br-phenyl), 1646 (C=C), 1273 (C-O); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.98 (s, 3H, H-25, *i.e.* H atoms at position 25), 1.16 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.27 (s, 3H, H-24), 1.68 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.08 (s, 3H, H-20), 2.18 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 12.2 Hz, H-7 α), 2.32 (*dt*, 1H, J \simeq 7, 15 Hz, H-15'), 2.47 (*d*, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, H-5), 2.73 (ddd, 1H, J = 4.5, 7.5, 15 Hz, H-15), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.91 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz, H-16), 5.07 (t, 1H, J =7.3 Hz, H-14), 5.24 (dt, 1H, J = 3.9, 11.4 Hz, H-6), 5.93 (brs,

1H, H-18), 7.57 and 7.80 (each d, each 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, p-Br-phenyl H); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.0 [C(20)], 16.2 [C(24)], 16.4 and 16.5 [C(21) and C(25)], 17.2 [C(2)], 23.1 [C(11)], 25.1 [C(22)], 30.2 [C(15)], 38.4 [C(3)], 38.5 [C(10)], 38.9 [C(1)], 42.6 [C(12)], 44.6 [C(4)], 49.7 [C(7)], 51.8 (OMe), 52.7 [C(5)], 60.0 [C(9)], 70.2 [C(6)], 73.3 [C(8)], 84.3 [C(16)], 116.4 [C(14)], 117.6 [C(18)], 128.2 and 129.3 (quaternary phenyl C), 131.3 and 131.6 (tertiary phenyl C), 141.0 [C(13)], 165.4 [C(27)], 168.2 [C(17)], 173.4 [C(19)], 179.3 [C(23)]; CIMS (NH₃) m/z (relative intensity): 650, 648 $[M + NH_4]^+$ (23), 632, 630 $[M]^+$ (1), 448 [M - p-Br-benzoyl, (I)]⁺ (2), 431 (30), 430 [*M* – *p*-Br-benzovl – H₂O]⁺ (100).

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.48 \times 0.38 \times 0.14$ mm

 $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$

reflections

 $\theta = 18.0 - 20.0^{\circ}$

T = 173(1) K

Colourless

Plate

 $\mu = 1.364 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Crystal data

C33H43BrO7 $M_r = 631.60$ Orthorhombic $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ a = 10.433(2) Å b = 33.313(1) Å c = 9.000 (4) Å $V = 3128(1) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.341 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5 <i>R</i> diffractom- eter ω scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scan (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.760, T_{max} =$ 1.000 9448 measured reflections 7179 independent reflections 3771 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_{int} = 0.042$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -1 \rightarrow 43$ $l = -1 \rightarrow 11$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 150 reflections intensity decay: insignificant
Refinement	
Refinement on F R = 0.0511 wR = 0.0360 S = 1.458 3771 reflections 374 parameters	Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from <i>International Table</i> . for Crystallography (199 Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 an 6.1.1.4)

H atoms: see text $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.005F_o)^2]$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.0004$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.64 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Br

O(6)

O(8)

oles 992, and Absolute configuration: Flack (1983) parameter = -0.02(1)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

X	v	2	U_{ea}
0.23165 (5)	0.00655(1)	0.74750 (9)	0.0717 (2)
0.3963 (3)	0.18547 (9)	1.0625 (4)	0.029(1)
0.3150(4)	0.3272(1)	1.0133 (5)	0.040(1)

O(16)	0.2476 (3)	0.48466 (8)	1.6209(3)	0.037 (1)
O(19)	0.0492 (4)	0.4778(1)	1.5292 (4)	0.058 (2)
O(23)	0.2467 (3)	0.17074 (9)	1.3412 (4)	0.044(1)
O(26)	0.3964 (3)	0.12412 (9)	1.3787 (4)	0.047(1)
O(27)	0.5532 (3)	0.18148 (9)	0.8895 (4)	0.035(1)
C(1)	0.4830(4)	0.2758(1)	1.4900 (6)	0.033 (2)
C(2)	0.5384 (5)	0.2404 (2)	1.5783 (6)	0.040(2)
C(3)	0.4704 (5)	0.2014(2)	1.5366 (6)	0.037 (2)
C(4)	0.4701 (5)	0.1919(1)	1.3672 (5)	0.029 (2)
C(5)	0.4331 (4)	0.2298(1)	1.2769 (5)	0.021(1)
C(6)	0.4451 (4)	0.2250(1)	1.1098 (5)	0.026 (2)
C(7)	0.3691 (4)	0.2571(1)	1.0288 (5)	0.029 (2)
C(8)	0.4100 (5)	0.2998(1)	1.0691 (6)	0.030(2)
C(9)	0.4188 (4)	0.3041 (1)	1.2404 (6)	0.028(1)
C(10)	().4959 (4)	0.2705(1)	1.3208 (5)	0.026 (2)
C(11)	0.4532 (4)	0.3475(1)	1.2883 (6)	0.034 (2)
C(12)	0.3530(5)	0.3663(1)	1.3925 (6)	0.038 (2)
C(13)	0.3923 (5)	0.4058(1)	1.4603 (6)	0.032 (2)
C(14)	0.4050 (5)	0.4095(1)	1.6056 (6)	0.042 (2)
C(15)	0.4379 (5)	0.4471 (2)	1.6895 (6)	0.050(2)
C(16)	0.3196 (5)	().4696(1)	1.7465 (7)	0.042 (2)
C(17)	0.2236(6)	0.4445(1)	1.8303 (6)	0.041 (2)
C(18)	0.1151 (5)	0.4449(1)	1.7585(7)	0.049 (2)
C(19)	0.1251 (6)	0.4696(2)	1.6243 (7)	0.042 (2)
C(20)	0.2602 (7)	0.4236(1)	1.9695 (6)	0.068 (3)
C(21)	0.4093 (6)	0.4395(1)	1.3514 (6)	0.057 (2)
C(22)	0.5292 (5)	0.3116(2)	0.9796 (6)	0.042 (2)
C(23)	0.3578 (5)	0.1615(1)	1.3566 (6)	0.035 (2)
C(24)	0.5994 (5)	0.1732(1)	1.3230(5)	0.038 (2)
C(25)	0.6417 (4)	0.2732(1)	1.2846 (5)	0.032(2)
C(26)	0.2915 (6)	0.0956(2)	1.3998(7)	0.063 (2)
C(27)	0.4601 (5)	0.1672 (1)	0.9503 (5)	0.026 (2)
C(28)	0.4026 (4)	0.1278(1)	0.9091 (5)	0.026 (2)
C(29)	0.2942 (5)	0.1126(1)	().9766 (6)	0.035 (2)
C(30)	0.2437 (5)	0.0761(1)	0.9305 (6)	0.041 (2)
C(31)	0.3028 (5)	0.0555(1)	0.8175(6)	0.042 (2)
C(32)	0.4125 (4)	0.0694(1)	0.7502(7)	0.042 (2)
C(33)	0.4614 (4)	0.1062(1)	0.7967 (6)	0.036 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	0	-		
O(16)—C(16)	1.447 (6)	C(8)—C(9)		1.551 (7)
O(16)—C(19)	1.373 (6)	C(9)-C(10)		1.557 (6)
O(19)—C(19)	1.198 (6)	C(9)-C(11)		1.550(5)
C(1) - C(2)	1.535 (6)	C(11)-C(12	.)	1.537 (6)
C(1) - C(10)	1.539 (7)	C(12)-C(13	5)	1.509 (6)
C(2) - C(3)	1.527 (7)	C(13)-C(14)	1.320(7)
C(3)—C(4)	1.557 (7)	C(14)-C(15	i)	1.503 (6)
C(4)—C(5)	1.549 (6)	C(15)-C(16)	1.532 (6)
C(5)—C(6)	1.517 (6)	C(16)-C(17	()	1.507 (7)
C(5)—C(10)	1.558 (6)	C(17)-C(18	3)	1.303 (7)
C(6)—C(7)	1.518 (6)	C(18)-C(19))	1.466 (7)
C(7)—C(8)	1.528 (6)	C(27)—C(28	3)	1.492 (6)
C(6) - O(6) - C(27)	116.5 (4)	C(1) - C(10)	-C(5)	108.3 (4)
C(2) - C(1) - C(10)	113.1 (4)	C(1)-C(10)	—C(9)	109.4 (4)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	110.6 (4)	C(5)-C(10)	—C(9)	106.9 (3)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	114.4 (4)	C(9)-C(11)	—C(12)	113.0 (4)
C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	110.5 (4)	C(11)-C(12	2)—C(13)	114.7 (4)
C(3)-C(4)-C(23)	101.2 (4)	C(12)-C(13	3)—C(14)	120.6(5)
C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	114.5 (4)	C(13)-C(14	1)—C(15)	126.7 (5)
C(4)C(5)-C(10)	118.1 (4)	C(14)—C(15	5)—C(16)	113.1 (4)
C(6)—C(5)—C(10)	107.9 (4)	O(16)—C(16	6)—C(17)	103.7 (4)
C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	111.1 (4)	C(16)—C(17	7)—C(18)	108.9 (5)
C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	113.3 (4)	C(18)—C(17	7)—C(20)	130.3 (6)
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	109.8 (4)	C(17)—C(18	3)—C(19)	110.6 (5)
C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	115.2 (4)	O(16)—C(19	ə)—C(18)	106.8 (5)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	54.3 (6)	C(5)—C(6)-	-C(7)-C(8)	58.2 (5)
C(1)-C(10)-C(5)-C(4) -48.8 (5)	C(5)—C(10)	-C(9)-C(8) -56.2 (5)
C(2)-C(1)-C(10)-C(5) 54.9 (5)	C(6)—C(5)-	-C(10)-C(9) 61.7 (4)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	-46.0 (6)	C(6)—C(7)-	-C(8)-C(9)	-48.1 (5)
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)-C(10) - 59.5 (5)	C(7)—C(6)-	-C(5)-C(10) -64.5 (4)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(10) 44.5 (5)	C(7)—C(8)-) 48.9 (5)
$D - H \cdots A$	DH	H. · · A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O(8) - H(8) \cdots O(27^{1})$	0.71 (4)	2.25 (4)	2.883 (5)	150 (5)
Symmetry code: (i) $r =$	_ _ v 2	- 7		
Symmetry code. (1) x -	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	٤.		

The data collection was extended to include the measurement of the intensities of the Friedel opposites of all reflections within the listed hkl range. Friedel pairs were not averaged during the data reduction so that the effects of anomalous dispersion could be used for the determination of the absolute configuration. Azimuthal scans of three reflections were used for an empirical absorption correction (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968). The hydroxy H atom was located in a difference electron density map and was refined isotropically. All other H atoms were fixed at ideal positions [d(C-H) =0.95 Å] with fixed isotropic displacement parameters of $1.2U_{eq}$ of the parent C atom. The largest peaks of residual electron density (< 0.64 e Å⁻³) were close to the Br atom. Elsewhere the largest peak was 0.34 e Å⁻³. For the determination of the absolute configuration, the CRYSTALS program system (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1985) was used to refine the final atomic coordinates together with the enantiopole parameter (Flack, 1983). The refined value of the enantiopole parameter was -0.02(1), thus confirming that the atomic coordinates represented the correct enantiomorph.

MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1991) was used for data collection and cell determination, and TEXSAN software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) was used for data reduction, structure refinement and the preparation of publication material. The structure was solved using SHELXS86 Patterson methods (Sheldrick, 1990) and the Fourier expansion routine of DIRDIF92 (Beurskens et al., 1992). Molecular graphics were produced using ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, torsion angles and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KA1151). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., Garcia-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1992). *The DIRDIF Program System*. Technical Report. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358. Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Matloubi Moghaddam, F., Zaynizadeh, B. & Rüedi, P. (1995). Phytochemistry, **39**, 715-716.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1991). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Rustaiyan, A., Niknejad, A., Nazarians, L., Jakupovic, J. & Bohlmann, F. (1982). *Phytochemistry*, **21**, 1812–1813.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Watkin, D. J., Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1985). CRYSTALS User Guide. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.